

Spill Impact Mitigation Assessment (SIMA)

Dr. Bowornsak Wanichkul ExxonMobil Limited

SIMA



Oil Spill Response

A key objective for any oil spill response

To minimize the impacts to ecological, socioeconomic and cultural resources at risk.



Do it Fast

Chance of success is high



Do it right

Cleanup response may cause negative impact to the environment



Decision supports by stakeholders

 The cleanup methods have to be agreed and support by key stakeholders

What is the SIMA



IPIECA guideline

Tool for risk assessment and selection of the oil spill response strategy and methods

Guidelines on implementing spill impact mitigation assessment (SIMA)

A technical support document to accompany the IPIECA-IOGP guidance on net environmental benefit analysis (NEBA)



The global oil and gas industry association for environmental and social issues

14th Floor, City Tower, 40 Basinghall Street, London EC2V 5DE, United Kingdom Telephone: +44 00/20 7633 2388 E-mail: info@ipieca.org. Website: www.ipieca.org



The American Petroleum Institute

1220 L Street NW, Washington, DC 20005-4070, USA Telephone: +1 202 682 8000 Website: www.api.org http://www.ipieca.org/resources/awarenessbriefing/guidelines-on-implementing-spillimpact-mitigation-assessment-sima/





Spill Impact Mitigation Assessment (SIMA)

Net Environmental Benefit Analysis (NEBA) A structured approach to compare the impact mitigation potential of candidate response options and <u>develop a response strategy that will minimize the net impact</u> of an oil spill on the environmental, socio-economic and cultural resources of concern.

NEBA = Net Environmental Benefit Assessment

Spill Impact
Mitigation
Assessment (SIMA)

A replacement for NEBA process, although the underlying <u>principles of the risk analysis process</u> have not changed.

The SIMA process <u>encompasses ecological, socio-</u> <u>economic and cultural considerations</u>, and this new term eliminates the perceptions associated with the word 'benefit'



NEBA / SIMA

Regardless of terminology, effective implementation of NEBA/SIMA processes;

















Quantitative





Pre plan

Agreed upfront, ready to implement

Balance trade-off

No Zero impact method

Response strategy by scenario

Design for likely scenario, adjustable to fit the real event

How to develop SIMA



4 stages of SIMA

- Compile and evaluate data for relevant oil spill scenarios including
- fate and trajectory modelling,
- identification of resources at risk and
- determination of feasible response options.
- 2. Predict outcomes/impacts for
- 'no intervention' option
- the effectiveness of the feasible response options for each scenario.
- Balance trade-offs by weighing and comparing
- the range of benefits and
- drawbacks associated with each feasible response option,
- including no intervention, for each scenario.
- 4. Select the best response option(s) for each scenario,
- based on the combination of techniques that will minimize
 - the overall ecological,
 - socio-economic and
 - cultural impacts and
 - promote rapid recovery.

Stage 1: Evaluate data

- A selection of credible potential release scenarios is chosen.
 - Oil fate and trajectory modelling is undertaken, and data on ecological, socio-economic and cultural resources evaluated.
 - Resources at risk are determined, and the feasible response options identified.



Stage 2: Predict outcomes

- The potential relative impact of the spill on each resource at risk is assessed for the 'no-intervention' option.
- A preliminary prediction is made of how each feasible response option will modify the impact when compared with no intervention.

Stage 3: Balance trade-offs

- Dialogue with key stakeholders provides the opportunity to explain potential trade-offs or to obtain new inputs on resource sensitivities and values.
- The total impact mitigation score and ranking for each response option is agreed.

Stage 4: Select best options

The best combination of response options is selected to create an appropriate reponse strategy. It is recommended that SIMA utilizes the complete response toolkit, including:

- No intervention
- At-sea containment and recovery
- Surface dispersant
- Subsea dispersant
- Controlled in-situ burning
- Shoreline booming





SIMA Process

Stage 4: Select best options

The best combination of response options is selected to create an appropriate reponse strategy. It is recommended that SIMA utilizes the complete response toolkit, including:

- No intervention
- At-sea containment and recovery
- Surface dispersant
- Subsea dispersant
- Controlled in-situ burning
- Shoreline booming

Stage 3: Balance trade-offs

- Dialogue with key stakeholders provides the opportunity to explain potential trade-offs or to obtain new inputs on resource sensitivities and values.
- The total impact mitigation score and ranking for each response option is agreed.

Stage 1: Evaluate data

- A selection of credible potential release scenarios is chosen.
 - Oil fate and trajectory modelling is undertaken, and data on ecological, socio-economic and cultural resources evaluated.
 - Resources at risk are determined, and the feasible response options identified.

Stage 2: Predict outcomes

- The potential relative impact of the spill on each resource at risk is assessed for the 'no-intervention' option.
- A preliminary prediction is made of how each feasible response option will modify the impact when compared with no intervention.



	2. Relative			4. Impact modification factors								,
	NO INTERVENTION Botential relative impact NO INTERVENTION				CONTAINMENT AND RECOVERY		FACE RSANT	SUBSEA DISPERSANT	CONTROLLED IN-SITU BURNING		SHORELINE BOOMING	
			dict the effectiveness and impact modification potential of the various response options	Impact modification factor	Relative impact mitigation score	Impact modification factor	Relative impact mitigation score		Impact modification factor	Relative impact mitigation score	Impact modification factor	Relative impact mitigation score
compartments	- !	Α	and	B1	AxB1	B2	AxB2	!	B4	AxB4	B5	Ax B5
Seabed	None	1	ess a	0	0	0	0	Notfeasible for a	0	0	0	0
Lower water column	None	1	/ene vari	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0
Upper water column	Low	2	ectiv	1	2	-2	-4	surface spill	0	0	0	0
Water surface	Medium	3	effe II of	1	3	3	9	1	2	6	0	0
Air	Medum !	3	the	1	3	2	6	! !	1	3	0	0
Shorelines	j	3	Predict the potentia	1	3	3	9		2	6	1	3
Saltmarsh Estuarine mudflats Sandy beaches	High High Low	4 4 2	3. Pre	1 1 1	1	3 3 3			2 2 2		1 1 2	
High value resources	Low	2]	0	0	1	2	<i> </i>	0	0	1	2
Socio-economic		4		1	4	2	8		1	4	/ 3	12
Boat harbour Water recreation	Medium High	3 4		1 1	 	2 2		/	1 1		2 3	
Cultural	None	1		0	0	2	2		1	1	1	1
Total impact mitigation score:					15 4th		32 1st	1	(20 2nd	1	18 3rd
						`						



SIMA application



Who will involved in the SIMA?

SIMA presents the opportunity, within limits depending on the context, <u>to</u>
 <u>build consensus-based</u> response strategy among <u>industry</u>, <u>government</u>
 authorities and <u>key stakeholders from the wider community</u>.

When SIMA might be used?

- Pre-spill planning: make consensus during the peace time
- Incident response:
 - <u>Select the planning scenario that most closely matches</u> the incident circumstances, along with its associated response strategy, as a starting point.
 - <u>Validate or adjust as needed</u> the assumptions and considerations used in the planning SIMA to account for actual incident conditions (this can be a dynamic use of SIMA throughout the response).
 - Confirm the applicability of the pre-determined response strategy or adjust as necessary.

SIMA development plan



IESG and **SIMA**

Knowledge sharing



 Developing a pilot for Sriracha and Rayong scenarios by 2019









Thank you